2	FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
3	MAY 1 0 2010
4	MAY 1 8 2018
5	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EASTERN DIVISION BY DEPUTY
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 5. 99 CR 24-RT (VAP)
11)
12) FURTHER REVOCATION
13	v.) PROCEEDINGS (FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.1(a)(6); 18
14	Smith Earnee Windell Defendant. U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1))
15	Defendant.
16	The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant
17	issued by the United States District Court for the District of
18	Californ for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of probation
19	or supervised release; and
20	Having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal
21	Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), the Court finds that:
22	A. $(\bigvee$ The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
23	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18
24	U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:
25	(X) information in the Pretrial Services Report and Recommendation
26	information in the violation petition and report(s)

the defendant's nonobjection to detention at this time

()

(**X**

27

28

1	and/ or
2	B. (X) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and
3	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose a danger to the
4	safety of any other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C.
5	§ 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the following:
6	(V) information in the Pretrial Services Report and Recommendation
7	(V) information in the violation petition and report(s)
8	() the defendant's nonobjection to detention at this time
9	(V) other: Styles startements
10	
11	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further
12	revocation proceedings.
13	
14	Dated: May 18, 2018 SHERIPYM
15	United States Magistrate Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
28	
40	